APR-30-2007 MON 05:53 PM ROACH BROWN MCCARTHY

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

ROACH, BROWN, McCARTHY & GRUBER, P.C.

APR 3 0 2007

1620 Liberty Building Buffalo, New York \$\frac{1}{4}202

(716) 852-0400

FAX

(716) 852-2535

Andrew Freistein - Art Unit 1626

TO:

USPTO

FAX NO .:

COMPANY:

1-571-273-8800

FROM:

Kevin D. Mc Carthy 10/512,024 (0-06-224/16120/05/03)

RE:

DATE:

April 30, 2007

NUMBER OF PAGES TRANSMITTED: COVER +

Response 13 pages

1 page MESSAGE:

Form 2038 for \$60 1 page

er of Attorney document er to coursel that sent

page of letter -DIATELY IF ALL PAGES ARE NOT

PLEASE CONTACT RECEIVED OR IF WE ARE DISCONNECTED DURING TRANSMISSION.

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: The information contained in this recsimile transmission is attorney privileged and confidential information intended solely for the use of the addressee tamed above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original facsimile transmission to us by mail. Thank you.

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

APR 3 0 2007

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that this correspondence along with other possible documents has been deposited with the U.S. Postal Strvice by first class mail, postage pre-paid, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Palents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-in an envelope addressed to the U.S. Parent and Trademark Office on April 30, 2007. Karen McCareny Carl

Typed Name: Date:

April 30, 2007

Patent 0-06-224/16120/US/03

DEMARK OFFICE IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRA

Inventor:

Haj-Yehia, Abdullah I.

Serial no.:

10/512,024

I.A. Filed:

April 15, 2003

Title:

BETA-AGONIST COMPOUNDS COMPRISING NITRIC OXIDE DONOR GROUPS AND REACTIVE CXYGEN SPECIES SCAVENGER GROUPS

AND THEIR USE IN THE THEATMENT OF RESPIRATORY DISORDERS

Examiner:

Andrew Freistein

Art Unit:

1626

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Madam:

Response and Amendment

This response is in reply to the office action mailed on March 27, 2007. This response is being submitted with a petition for alphe-month extension to time to submit the response, with a corresponding Form 2038.

The examiner wrote, "Claims 1-9 and 12-28 are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined by PCT Rule 13), and accordingly, a restriction is required according to the provision of PCT Rule 13.2." Those alleged inventive concepts are:

Group I: Claims 1-9 and 23-25, drawn to a product of formula (I).

Group II: Claims 12-14, drawn to process for preparing formula (I).

Group III: Claim 15, drawn to a composition comprising a compound of formula (I) for use as a medicament.

Group IV: Claims 16-22 and 25, drawn to a method for treating or preventing a respiratory disorder.

Group V: Claim 27, drawn to an inhalation device for administering a compound of formula (I).

Group VI: Claim 28, drawn to a kit comprising an inhalation device for administering a compound of formula (I).

The examiner further wrote, "The inventions sted as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT 111 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: they Inventor:

Haj-Yehia, Abdullah I.

Serial no.:

10/512,024

do not share the same structural element(s) that define the "special technical feature" necessary to specify a contribution over the prior at. . . . The structural moiety common

Applicant elects Group I with traverse. Why traverse? Claim 1 is the only independent claim and it has been amended to read on the following chemical structure:

wherein "A" stands for 5-7 membered heterocycle. The two structures,

, are obviously different. The applicant restricted the invention in response to the first Office Action, deleting many structural possibilities, but now the Examiner asks that we delete even the medical amplicantions of the compounds. Formula I has been, without prejudice, limited to cases when R¹ is – SNO, R³ with R⁴ form a saturated heterocycle, and R5 is H or alkyl.

The dependency amendments in claims \$4, 12, 27, and 28 are believed to still more emphasize unity of the invention.

The claims of the instant application relate is a clearly defined group of compounds, being substituted aminoethyl benzenes, wherein among the substituents there is at least one NO-donor selected from four structures (ONO, ONO2, SNO, NONOate), and at least one ROS-scavenger selected from four structures (nitroxide, alkenyl, sulfhydryl, aryl); a process for preparing the compounds, and there use are further included. Aminoethyl benzenes of the prior art do not comprise either NO-donor or ROS-scavenger, let alone both. In response to a restriction requirement, the claims have been restricted to a fraction of original compounds, which are believed to be movel and inventive; and claim 1 is now believed to be novel and inventive, as well as all other claims, that now depend from claim 1, and cover a process and a use of said newel compounds. The amended claims are now belied to be allowable.

Since the examiner's restriction requirement did not take into consideration the feature that is believed by applicants as essential it is respectfully requested that the

P. 04

Inventor:

Haj-Yehia, Ahdullah I.

Serial no.:

10/512,024

__3__

restriction requirement be kindly reconsidered. It is believed that the excluded compounds also comprise novel and inventive, useful, materials.

It is respectfully submitted that this application is currently in a condition for allowance and such allowance is earnestly solicited.

We are also re-submitting the power of attorney document and a letter sent to the counsel who inadvertently filed an assignment that should not have been recorded against this patent application. The letter is self-explanatory and it is expected the reputable law firm will correct its mistake regarding the improperly assigned assignment document. We therefore request the USPTO honor this power of attorney document, which was properly filed on November 16, 2006, and refused due to the other law firm's error.

Respectfully submitted

Kevil D. McCarthy Reg. No. 35,278

Roach, Brown, McCarthy & Gruber, P.C. 1620 Liberty Building - 420 Main Street Buffalo, New York 14202 (716) 852-0400